

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/755,236	0	1/05/2001	Kenichiro Imai	450100-02940	3751	
20999	7590	04/21/2004		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG MANOSKEY, JOSEPH D						
745 FIFTH AV	VENUE-	10TH FL.				
NEW YORK, NY 10151				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				2113		

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2	Application No. "	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/755,236	IMAI ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph Manoskey	2113	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	9SS
THE REPLY FILED 06 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (ension fee under 2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	s Brief must be filed within the μ R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	• • •	<i>,</i> —	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	7 7 7		
Patent and Trademade Office			



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

The grounds of rejection for the pending claims are listed below, please see the previous Office Actions for details on the rejections.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kudo et al., Japanese Patent 11-282713, hereinafter to be referred to as "Kudo".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kudo in view of Fasang, U.S. Patent 4,433,413.

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLES
TECHNOLOGY CENTER

2